(1)
(3)

O.S. 100

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR (C.G.)

WRIT PETITION.NO. 3459 OF 2004

BETWEEN

Basant Yadav s/o Late Tulsiram Yadav, aged about 34 years, R/O Ramayan Chowk, Chateediah, Bilaspur, Tehsil & District: Bilaspur (C.G.)

PETITIONER

AND

1- The State of Chhattisgarh,
Through the Secretary Department of Co-operative Societies Chhattisgarh, D.K.S. Building, Raipur (C.G.)

2- The Registrar,
Co-operative Societies Chhattisgarh,
Near Agriculture College, Labhandi, Raipur, Tehsil and District: Raipur (C.G.)

3- Chief Executive officer (General Manager),
Zila Sahakari Kendri Bank Maryadit, Bilaspur
Near Nehru Chowk, Tehsil & District: Bilaspur (C.G.)

RESPONDENTS

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

Sh. Shrivastava

XI-HC—78

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक W.P. 3459 सन् 2004

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अंतिम आदेश
	17/01/2005: -	

Shri Shailendra Soni learned counsel for the petitioner.

Shri Sandeep Dubey, learned Panel Lawyer, for the State/respondent No.1, on advance copy.

Heard on I.A. No.7323 of 2004 which is an application for urgent hearing.

The matter is taken up on Board. Hence, I.A. No.7323 of 2004 stands disposed of.

Heard on the question of admission of this writ petition.

Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that the father of the petitioner namely late Tulsiram Yadav who was working as a Clerk in Zila Sahakari Kendriya Bank Maryadit, Bilaspur (Office of respondent No.3), died on 02/02/2004 during the course of his employment. Learned counsel further submits that after the death of his father, the petitioner applied for compassionate appointment in place of his father. Annexure-P/1 filed along with this petition is the copy of the application submitted by the petitioner. Learned counsel submits that even after submitting the said application on 23/03/2004, no response has been given by the department. Learned counsel makes a limited prayer that the authorities of the department may be directed to dispose of the case of the petitioner in accordance with law within a stipulated period.

The prayer seems to be genuine and the same is allowed. It is, therefore, directed that the authorities of the department shall trace out the application of the petitioner vide Annexure-P/1 and thereafter they shall

(29)

XI-HC—78

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक W.P. 3459 सन् 2004

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश -2-	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी र के अंतिम आदेश

dispose of the same in accordance with law within a period of four ~~weeks~~ months from the date of filing of this order along with the copy of the petition.

With the above observations, the petition stands disposed of finally.

Sd/-
Sunil Kumar Sinha
Judge

Bajpai.

28.1.05